

APPEAL NO. 021332
FILED JULY 15, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on April 23, 2002. The hearing officer decided that the respondent (claimant) sustained a compensable injury on _____, and that he had disability from _____, and continuing through the date of the hearing. The appellant (carrier) appealed. The file does not contain a response from the claimant.

DECISION

Affirmed.

The hearing officer did not err in determining that the claimant sustained a compensable injury on _____, and that he had disability from _____, and continuing through the date of the hearing. The disputed issues presented questions of fact for the hearing officer to resolve. Conflicting evidence was presented on both issues. Nothing in our review of the record indicates that the hearing officer's decision is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); Pool v. Ford Motor Company, 715 S.W.2d 629, 635 (Tex. 1986).

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **AMERICAN CASUALTY COMPANY OF READING, PENNSYLVANIA** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**CT CORPORATION
350 NORTH ST. PAUL
DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.**

Daniel R. Barry
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

Thomas A. Knapp
Appeals Judge

Michael B. McShane
Appeals Judge